



112                    **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-11935-2025**  
**Date of decision: 09.12.2025**

AMANDEEP SINGH

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR**

Present: Mr. Gopal Rathi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)**

1. Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus for directing the respondents or to appoint Warrant Officer for immediately release the petitioner from the illegal detention of respondents No.2 to 6.

2. In the present petition, Mr. Mahipal Walia was appointed as Warrant Officer for getting released the detainee namely Amandeep Singh. When the Warrant Officer reached the concerned Police Station, he was informed that one accused namely Ramandeep Singh son of Kewal Singh was arrested in FIR No.255 dated 21.09.2025, Police Station STF, Ferozepur and presently, he is confined in Central Jail, Ferozepur. Thereafter, Warrant Officer reached the jail premises where the detainee was produced, who disclosed his name as Amandeep Singh. He alleged that the police had forced him to append his signatures as Ramandeep Singh after beating him and infact, Ramandeep Singh is his younger brother and the



family has no information about him. Thereafter, said Amandeep Singh was set free.

3. However, it is also not in dispute that after the police remand was over, the detainee was again produced before the Judicial Magistrate from where he was sent to judicial custody and he must have appended his signatures as Ramandeep Singh and disclosed his name as Ramandeep Singh even in Court.

4. In these circumstances, it cannot be ascertained at this stage as to whether detainee-Amandeep Singh had voluntarily disclosed his name as Ramandeep Singh or was forced by the police to do so. As such, no further action in the present petition is called for and the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with the liberty to the petitioner (detainee) to avail appropriate remedy for alleged detention, if he so desires.

5. Pending misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

09.12.2025  
Priyanka Thakur

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)  
JUDGE

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |