



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CRM-M-63323-2025  
Date of decision: 17.11.2025

BALJINDER SINGH ALIAS THULA .....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB .....Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: - Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Senior DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)**

1. The instant third petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 131 dated 01.10.2023, registered under Section(s) 307/325/324/506/148/149/201 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Cantt., Bathinda, District Bathinda.

2. The case was registered based on a statement made by Talwinder Singh son of Mohinder Singh wherein he disclosed that on 29.09.2023, his elder brother Jasvir Singh was on duty in Bathinda Cantt, and returned back to the house in the evening. After having a meal, his brother Jasvir Singh went to the fields to switch off the motor and was

returning to his house at about 8.45 PM. At that time, the complainant was strolling on the slip road along side Bathinda-Barnala highway and he noticed a mob near Govt. School. The complainant reached there and found that Jashandeep Singh and his father Baljinder Singh along with 4-5 other unknown persons, had attacked his brother with kirpans, datties etc. Jashandeep Singh gave axe blow in the middle of the head of his brother and his brother fell on the ground. Baljinder Singh @ Tula (father of Jashandeep Singh) gave kirpan blow towards left side of the face of his brother. On his raising hue and cry, all the persons ran away from the spot along with their weapons. The complainant arranged a vehicle and got his brother admitted initially in Adesh Hospital, Bathinda from where he was referred to DMC, Ludhiana. The complainant's explanation behind the motive for injuries is that some time back, the brother of the complainant had an altercation with Jashandeep Singh @ Pochi, due to which he nursed a grudge and he and his father caused injuries to his brother.

3. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody since 05.02.2024 and has undergone an actual custody of more than 01 year and 09 months. He submits that the petitioner has been attributed injury by Kirpan on the left side of the face which such injury has been assessed as grievous. He contends that the injury that attracted Section 307, with an axe on the head, is attributed to co-accused Jashandeep Singh-son of the petitioner. He contends that the co-accused is already in custody. He submits that only 07 witnesses have been examined so far out of the 17 witnesses cited by the prosecution. He submits that the petitioner is nearly 50 years of age and does not have any criminal antecedents. He submits that the earlier bail petition was dismissed in July,

2025 and a period of nearly 3 ½ months has elapsed before filing of the present petition.

4. Counsel for the respondent-State does not dispute the period of custody as also the clean antecedents of the petitioner. It is also not in dispute that Jashandeep i.e. son of the petitioner was attributed the head injury with the axe and that the said accused is still in custody.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties.

6. In view of the facts noticed above and taking into consideration the nature of the injury attributed to the petitioner, the period of custody already undergone as well as the stage of trial, coupled with the age of the petitioner and his clean antecedents, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

7. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

9. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

NOVEMBER 17, 2025

*Vishal Sharma*

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable : Yes/No