

109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-32834-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.11.2025

Randhir Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR


Present: Ms. Bhumika Khatri, Advocate for
Mr. Ram Darshan Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Piyush Khanna, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate and
Mr. Prince Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to pay the salary of the petitioner w.e.f. 28.11.2024 along with interest @12% per annum and to mark his presence w.e.f. 12.12.2024, as he is serving respondent No.4-Society. It is further prayed to direct the respondents to condone the break period w.e.f. 28.11.2024 to



12.12.2024 and count this period towards service benefits of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that the petitioner joined respondent No.4-Society as Salesman in the year 2023. Vide order dated 28.11.2024 (Annexure P-1), his services were removed, however, as per directions of the higher authorities, the order (Annexure P-1) was withdrawn on 11.12.2024, as discernible from Annexure P-2. As such, the petitioner again joined on 12.12.2024 and is performing his duties diligently, however, his presence is not being marked by respondent No.4 and he has not been paid the salary w.e.f. 28.11.2024. On an earlier occasion, after representing the respondent-Society on 13.12.2024 (Annexure P-3), the petitioner approached this Court by way of filing CWP-621-2025, which was disposed of vide order dated 30.07.2025 along with a bunch of petitions, lead case of which is **CWP-2457-2025** titled as **HC Sharma Vs. State of Haryana and others** (Annexure P-4) and liberty was granted to raise his grievance in terms of the Litigation Policy dated 11.07.2025. Thereafter, the petitioner submitted a representation dated 05.08.2025 (Annexure P-5) to the committee constituted as per Clause 5(B) of the aforesaid Litigation Policy, however, till date, no action has been taken thereon.

3. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 submit that they have no objection, if a direction is issued to District Level Grievances Redressal Committee to consider and decide case of the petitioner, in a time bound manner.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the



record of the case with their able assistance.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, present petition is disposed of and the District Level Grievances Redressal Committee constituted under the Haryana State Litigation Policy, 2025 is hereby directed to consider and decide the representation dated 05.08.2025 (Annexure P-5), in light of the judgment rendered by this Court in ***HC Sharma***'s case (*supra*) and pass a reasoned and speaking order, after granting an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. Further, the decision taken on the representation dated 05.08.2025 (Annexure P-5) shall be conveyed to the petitioner.

7. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted to him forthwith by the competent authority.

[HARPREET SINGH BRAR]
JUDGE

19.11.2025
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No