

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:163258-DB



(209)

**CWP-32795-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.11.2025**

M/s Innovision Limited

--Petitioner

Versus

National Highway Authority of India & Anr.

--Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL.
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA.**

Present:- Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Kang, Advocate for respondent no.1.

Mr. Sourabh Goel, Sr. Standing Counsel for
respondent no.2.

LISA GILL.J (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition is for setting aside notice dated 23.09.2025 (Annexure P-2) and order dated 31.10.2025 (Annexure P-1) issued by respondents thereby terminating contract agreement with the petitioner and also debarring/blacklisting it for one year.

2. Learned counsel for petitioner restricts the prayer, at this stage, qua action of respondents in debarring/blacklisting petitioner for a period of one year vide order dated 31.10.2025 (Annexure P-1). It is submitted that this action was taken in an arbitrary manner without even issuing any notice in this regard to petitioner in clear cut violation of principles of natural justice. This has in effect led to civil death of petitioner without any notice leave alone a hearing. It is submitted that in so far as termination of contract

is concerned, petitioner be afforded liberty to avail its remedies in accordance with law, as may be advised.

3. Learned counsel for respondent no.1, on instructions from Sh. Ashish Garg, D.G.M., NHAI, Chandigarh, fairly submits that notice in regard to debarring/blacklisting had indeed not been issued, therefore, the action of debarring/blacklisting is not sustainable. However, liberty be afforded to respondent to take necessary action afresh in accordance with law.

4. Keeping in view facts and circumstances as noticed above and specific stand of respondent no.1, order dated 31.10.2025 is set aside to the extent of debarring/blacklisting of petitioner to participate in bids/tenders etc. of respondent no.1 with liberty to respondent no.1 to proceed in accordance with law after issuing notice to petitioner and affording proper opportunity of hearing. Petitioner is also at liberty to avail its remedy/(ies) as may be advised in respect to termination of contract.

5. Writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

6. Pending misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

21.11.2025
lucky

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No