



CRM-M-62818-2025

-1-

**274 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-62818-2025

Date of Decision: 13.11.2025

Ravi @ Mota

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate,
Mr. Abhinav Kaushik, Advocate and
Mr. Rahul Gugnani, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms. Diya Sodhi, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner has approached by way of filing the present petition praying for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.263 dated 06.07.2025 under Sections 111(2)(b) 115, 117(2), 118(1), 118(2), 126, 190, 191(3), 324(4), 351(3) and 61 of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station City Fatehabad, District Fatehabad.
2. Succinctly the facts of the case are that FIR in the present case was got registered on the statement of complainant, namely, Gurusevak Singh, son of Ajit Singh. It was alleged that on 05.07.2025, the complainant was going to meet his mother at Balaji Colony, Fatehabad. When he reached near Bharat Gas Agency, the complainant found that one Rekha was standing there and on seeing him, Rekha called other co-accused Ravi (petitioner), Anmol, Babbu @ Sikander, Shamsher @ Sheri and from the other side, Janti @ Gurjant, Akash @ Sonu @ Bhirdania. Ravi parked his white coloured motorcycle in front of complainant's motorcycle. Petitioner Ravi gave iron pole axe blow on both legs of the complainant. Rekha



exhorted the petitioner as well as other co-accused to attack the complainant. On her exhortation, the complainant was attacked by the co-accused with Gandasi, Dandas, sword etc. and caused injuries. Thereafter, the petitioner Ravi hit the complainant on his head and he fell down. On raising alarm, the accused persons escaped from the scene of occurrence. The complainant was shifted to the General Hospital, Fatehabad and thus, the request was made to take legal action against the accused. On registration of the FIR, the investigation commenced. The petitioner was arrested on 09.08.2025. On completion of the investigation, the challan was presented. The petitioner approached the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehabad praying for the grant of bail, however after hearing both the sides and finding no merit in the same, learned Court declined the bail application filed by the petitioner vide order dated 08.10.2025. Hence being aggrieved, the petitioner is before this Court by way of filing the present petition praying for the grant of regular bail.

3. It has been vehemently contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely and frivolously implicated in the present case. He submits that the petitioner was allegedly gave axe blow on the legs of the complainant. It is submitted that the ocular version is not medically corroborated. He further submits that the complainant and the petitioner are already on loggerheads and thus, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that majority of the co-accused are already on bail. It is submitted that the investigation is complete and challan is presented. He further submits that though the petitioner is involved in other cases, however, he is on bail in the same. He, thus, submits



that in the facts and circumstances of the present case, the petitioner deserves to be granted regular bail.

4. *Per contra*, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. She has submitted that the petitioner is a habitual offender, who is involved in other cases. On instructions, she submits that the investigation is complete and challan is presented, however, charges are yet to be framed. She has further submitted that the ocular version is medically corroborated as the complainant suffered fracture in his legs. She has placed on record the custody certificate of the petitioner.

5. After hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 09.08.2025. As submitted before this Court, the investigation is complete and challan is presented. The complainant is also stated to be discharged from hospital. The custody certificate would show that the petitioner has suffered incarceration of 03 months & 03 days as on 12.11.2025. It further shows that the petitioner is involved in three other cases, out of which in one case, he is on bail. Majority of the co-accused are also stated to be on bail.

6. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after the conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court. This Court would refrain itself from commenting anything on the merits of the case. The trial of the case will take sufficient long time. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides and perusing the record, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for grant of regular



CRM-M-62818-2025

-4-

bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

8. It is being clarified that in case the petitioner does not furnish bail/surety bonds within a period of one week from today, his custody will not be counted in the present case after one week.

9. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

13.11.2025

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No