

216 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025/PHHC/173628



CRM-M-61954-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.12.2025

JAGDEEP SINGH @ DEEP

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Rishu Mahjan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parneet Singh Pandher, A.A.G., Punjab.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No.65 dated 03.09.2023, under Sections 21/21-B/21-C of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 registered at Police Station Bhindi Saidan, District Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the prosecution is that 210 gms of heroin was recovered from the petitioner and thereafter, on his disclosure statement another 311 gms of heroin was recovered from him. In total, 521 gms of heroin was recovered from the present petitioner. The petitioner is not involved in any other case. The petitioner is in custody for the last 02 years, 03 months and 04 days. He, therefore, prays for release of the petitioner on regular bail as the trial is likely to take a long time.

3. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. He has filed the short reply by way of affidavit of Neeraj Kumar, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Rajasansi, Amritsar (Rural) and custody certificate in Court, which are taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 02 years, 03 months and 04 days. Out of total 11

prosecution witnesses, no prosecution witness has been examined so far.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
6. The perusal of the zimni orders shows that none of the official witnesses are appearing before the Court to depose against the petitioner inspite of warrants being issued against them.
7. Considering the submissions of the learned counsel for the parties and the fact that petitioner is in custody for the last 02 years, 03 months and 04 days; out of total 11 prosecution witnesses, no prosecution witness has been examined so far; the trial of the case is progressing at a low pace and conclusion of trial would take long time, therefore, continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.
8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.
9. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

11.12.2025
Janki

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No