

2025.PHHC.155865



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

224

**CRM-M-61930-2025 (O&M)
Decided on: 11.11.2025**

JASPAL PANDIT @ JASPAL SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Zorawar Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 seeking grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.46 dated 26.02.2022 under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Division No.8, District Jalandhar, Police Commissionerate.

2. Brief facts of the case are that the petitioner along with 02 other co-accused has allured the complainant on pretext of sending her abroad and has duped the complainant of Rs.6.00 lac.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner was granted the concession of anticipatory bail vide order dated 13.05.2024 by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, however due to his poor financial condition, the petitioner

could not arrange to furnish bail bonds and surety bonds in the sum of

2025.PHHC.155865



Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like amount, due to which non bailable warrants were issued against the petitioner. Pursuant thereto, he again filed an application seeking anticipatory bail which was allowed and again due to being a poor tailor, he could not arrange surety bonds to the tune of Rs.50,000/- and absented himself before the trial Court. consequently, the petitioner was declared as a proclaimed offender vide order dated 10.09.2024 passed by the trial Court and subsequently, the petitioner was arrested on 22.08.2025. He further contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and is not involved in any other case. It is also contended that co-accused namely Ram Gopal and Jaspal Singh have already been granted the concession of bail by the court of Addl. Sessions Judge, Jalandhar vide order dated 10.03.2022.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepted notice on behalf of respondent-State and opposes the grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Heard.

7. Keeping in view the fact that the investigation in the present case has already been completed; final report under Section 173 of Cr.P.C.already stands presented; co-accused in the present FIR have already been granted the concession of bail; the offences are triable by Judicial Magistrate 1st Class; trial will take time to conclude; no useful

2025.PHHC.155885



purpose would be served by keeping him in custody for any further period; this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

11.11.2025
Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO