



CWP-34465-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(121)

CWP-34465-2024

Date of Decision : 16.01.2025

Lakhwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Abhishek Sindhvani, Advocate and
Ms. Jasneet Mehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Aashna Gill, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. The statutory appeal of the petitioner is pending consideration before the Appellate Authority concerned. The objection has been raised with regard to not adhering to the regulations 36.2.4 of the Punjab State Transmission Corporation Ltd. The said objection has caused grievance to the petitioner, which propelled him to file the instant writ petition.

2. At this stage, this Court refrains itself from making any observation as to whether, the instant writ petition, as filed with regard to the grievance (supra), is maintainable, or not, simply because of the reason that the petitioner has submitted that he is ready and willing to deposit the amount, in pursuance of the regulations (supra). However, the petitioner prays for an extension of time to deposit the said amount.



3. The fair and innocuous prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner is accepted.
4. Consequently, the petitioner is directed to deposit the amount in pursuance of the regulations (supra), within a period of two months, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order/judgment.
5. In case, the petitioner makes compliance of the regulations (supra), and deposits the requisite/desired amount, thereafter, the appeal so preferred by the petitioner, shall be decided in accordance with law.
6. **Disposed of** accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

January 16, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No