



227

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-63874-2024**Date of Decision: 08.01.2025**

Ajay

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Shubham Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.M.S.Bajwa, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.102 dated 09.11.2024 registered under Sections 303(2), 317(2), 3(5) of BNS at Police Station City Morinda, District Rupnagar.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case. In fact, on the same set of allegations, one more FIR i.e. FIR No.265 dated 10.11.2024 under Sections 303(2) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Rama Mandi, District Police Commissionerate was also registered against the present petitioner on the basis of the complaint moved by Mohinder Pal. He further submits that the vehicle, which is a subject matter of the FIR, has already been recovered by the police. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 09.11.2024 and is in custody since then. He further contends that similarly placed co-accused, namely, Aman, Ajay Kumar, Happy



and Joni have been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court. However, he could not dispute the fact that similarly placed co-accused, Aman, Ajay Kumar, Happy and Joni have been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. The case of the petitioner is at par with Aman, Ajay Kumar, Happy and Joni, who have been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court. The petitioner is in custody since 09.11.2024.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

08.01.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No