

2025:PHHC:066811



215.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-64394-2024

Date of decision: 19.05.2025

Amandeep Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Nitin Verma, Advocate, for
Mr. Satish Kumar Chawla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Senior DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail, under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, in FIR No.0149, dated 21.04.2024, under Section 21(a) of NDPS Act, 1985 and Sections 42, 52-A of Prisons Act, registered at Police Station City, Faridkot.

2. On the last date of hearing i.e. 06.02.2025, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“It has not been disputed by the learned State counsel that as per the case of the prosecution, the petitioner was nabbed inside the jail and the alleged recovery was made from him, however, strangely his arrest was not shown at the relevant time. Learned State counsel submits that disciplinary proceedings have already been initiated against the erring officials. Admittedly, there have been some lapses by the police in the present case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated that it is evidently a case of false implication because had the petitioner indeed been caught inside the jail premises by the jail officials with the recovered contraband, he would have indeed been arrested which admittedly no so in the present case. It has been submitted that in the given circumstances, the petitioner deserves to be enlarged on anticipatory bail.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 06.02.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.
4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 06.02.2025, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

May 19, 2025
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No