



CR-7499-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(119)

CR-7499-2024

Date of decision: - 07.01.2025

Anoop and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Sunil Bejla

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Randhir Singh Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 08.11.2024 (Annexure P-8) whereby the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Sohna declined the grant of ad-interim injunction to the petitioners/plaintiffs in CS-440-2024 titled as "Anoop and others Vs. Sunil Bejla".

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has very fairly submitted that in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "A. Venkatasubiah Naidu Vs. S. Chellappan", reported as in AIR 2000 SC 3032, the impugned order is appealable and thus, he seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present revision petition with liberty to the petitioners to file an appeal before the Appellate Court in accordance with



CR-7499-2024

-2-

law. It is prayed that since the petitioners were pursuing the present remedy, therefore, in case the petitioners file the appeal within a period of 15 days from today, then, the Appellate Court be directed not to dismiss the said appeal solely on the ground of limitation.

3. In view of the above, the present revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

4. In case the petitioners file any such appeal within a period of 15 days from today, then, the Appellate Court would not dismiss the said appeal solely on the ground of limitation.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the Appellate Court would decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

January 07, 2025
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No