

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-63887-2024
Date of decision: 15.01.2025

ARVIND MISHRA ALIAS ARVIND SHARMA AND ANOTHER
...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Varun Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

 Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG, Punjab.

 Mr. Abhinav, Advocate for
 Mr. Anurag Arora, Advocate
 for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 13.12.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
47	29.03.2023	387, 469, 506 of IPC and Section 30 of Arms Act, 1959 and Section 505 of IPC has been added later on.	Sadar, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/affidavit 13.12.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to



CRM-M-63887-2024

2

parties. Learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jalandhar, vide report dated 10.01.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. A copy of Receipt of costs of Rs. 30,000/- has been placed on record in compliance of the order dated 19.12.2024.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 does not oppose the compromise having been effected between the parties.

4. In view of the report of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jalandhar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the respondents have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 47 dated 29.03.2023 under Sections 387, 469, 506 of IPC and Section 30 of Arms Act, 1959 and Section 505 of IPC has been added later on, registered at Police Station Sadar, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

15.01.2025
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |