



111

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

LPA-3314-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 5th August, 2025

DEEPAKSHI

.....Appellant(s)

V/s.

HARYANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION AND ANOTHER

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA**
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present Mr. Tejpal Dhull, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate and
Ms. Varsha Chaudhary, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Surender Singh Pannu, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

CM-8197-LPA-2024

Application is allowed and documents Annexures A-1 and A-2
are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

LPA-3314-2024 (O&M)

1. This appeal assails order dated 18.11.2024 passed by the
learned Single Bench whereby Writ Petition filed by the appellant i.e.
CWP-30857-2024 has been dismissed.

2. Learned Single Bench has rejected the claim of the appellant
for appearing in the recruitment exercise on the ground that the eligibility
qualification was not fulfilled by the appellant on the last date of making of
the application.

3. Admittedly, the last date for making application for the
recruitment in question was **20.08.2024**. Though, the appellant claims that

she had already appeared in the B.Ed. Examination, but admittedly, the result was declared on 24.10.2024. In such circumstances, learned Single Bench has rejected the claim of the appellant by observing as under:-

“5. *There is no denial of the fact that the laid down conditions in the advertisement require the candidates to fulfill the essential qualifications and all other requisite conditions before the closing date for submission of application forms, i.e., 20.08.2024. And B.Ed. degree from a recognized University is one of the essential qualifications for the post in question/PGT Home Science. The petitioner’s provisional B.Ed. degree certificate, dated 29.10.2024, as also her Grade-cum-Detailed Marks Card, dated 24.10.2024, make it evident that she cleared the final year exam, conducted in June 2024, after the closing date, on 24.10.2024. Therefore, for want of essential qualification she was not eligible to apply on that date, and in terms of stipulations in the advertisement she could not be considered for the post.*

5.1. *It is also apparent on record that the petitioner has mis-stated the facts regarding her eligibility while filling-up the application form. She wrongly claimed to have cleared B.Ed. in 2024 from Guru Jambheshwar University, despite being fully aware that her result for the course had not been declared by then. The facts on record speak for themselves. On one hand, the petitioner vide letter dated 17.09.2024, Annexure P-4, requested the University for confidential result of B.Ed. final year examination taken by her in June 2024; on the other, she submitted application form for the post claiming to have passed the same. Therefore, it cannot be believed that due to inadvertence she mentioned about clearing B.Ed. in the application form; it was deliberately done to mislead the Commission in accepting her candidature despite being ineligible. But for this misrepresentation, the petitioner would not have been considered eligible and/or permitted to participate*

in the selection process. Resultantly, no equity is created in her favour, nor can she be allowed to further participate in the selection process/appear for the interview.”

4. Learned counsel for the appellant draws parity with an advertisement/notification dated 07.11.2024 (Annexure A-1) issued by the respondent-Haryana Public Service Commission in respect of a distinct recruitment. The said notification of the Commission permits the candidate to furnish their UGC NET certificate by the date fixed for scrutiny of documents before the interview process. This apparently was done as the score cards had already been received by the candidates concerned clearly indicating that they had passed the UGC but UGC NET certificate is yet to be issued. This is not the case here. In the present case, the result has been declared after the cut-off date. In that view of the matter, the appellant is not entitled to parity with the candidates on the strength of notice dated 07.11.2024 issued by the respondent-Commission.

5. In such view of the matter, we decline to interfere in this Appeal and the same is accordingly **dismissed**.

6. All pending applications in this case are disposed of accordingly.

[ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA]
JUDGE

[AARADHNA SAWHNEY]
JUDGE

August 5, 2025

Ess Kay

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>