

CRM-M-61903-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

CRM-M-61903-2025

Date of Decision : 04.11.2025

ALOK ANAND AND ANOTHER

.... PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.... RESPONDENTS


CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present : Mr. Jaskaran Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in COMI No.48 of 2018 in which they have been summoned to face trial under Sections 339, 341, 405, 406, 415, 420, 467, 468, 469, 120B of IPC vide order dated 20.09.2025 passed by learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Karnal.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and no offence as alleged in the complaint is made out against them. It is further submitted that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required and co-accused namely Sachin Goyal and Shubham Goel are similarly situated and have already been granted bail by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Karnal vide order dated 28.10.2025, therefore, on the ground of parity also, the petitioners are entitled to the relief of bail. The petitioners are respectable businessmen having roots in society, they are not Proclaimed Offenders, and



CRM-M-61903-2025

-2-

there is no possibility of their absconding or tampering with evidence.

Hence, the petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr.DAG, Haryana, accepted notice on behalf of respondent-State and opposed the bail petition of the petitioners by submitting that the petitioners defrauded the complainant by selling the medicines without any authority after preparing forged bills and by stealing the cheques of the complainant from his office.

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the above, the petitioners are directed to surrender themselves before the trial Court on the date fixed before it i.e. 21.11.2025 and move an application for bail. The trial Court is directed to decide the same in accordance with law and they are at liberty to take all the pleas taken in this petition before the trial Court. Till then, arrest warrant of the petitioners shall remain in abeyance in this matter. If the petitioners fail to appear before the trial Court on the date fixed, then the relief granted by this Court shall be deemed to be withdrawn.

7. Disposed of.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)

JUDGE

04.11.2025

anju

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No