



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-32749-2025

Date of Decision:06.11.2025

Poonam Saini and Ors.

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Parvez Chugh, Advocate with
Mr. Bhavesh Ola, Advocate
for the petitioners.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed the present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to pay to the petitioners salary in the minimum regular pay scale with dearness allowance in terms of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the matter of "**State of Punjab Vs. Jagjit Singh reported as 2016 (4) SCT 641**" and various other judgments on the issue from the date of their appointment and to pay the arrears for the said period along with interest @ 18 % per annum. Further prayer has been made to direct the respondents to consider and regularize the services of the petitioners on the basis of length of service of the petitioners, to allow the petitioners to continue on the post of Information Assistants till the time the posts are not filled up through regular selected candidates.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already served a legal notice dated 05.09.2025 (Annexure P-4) on the respondents and they shall be satisfied in case, appropriate directions are issued

to the respondents to look into legal notice dated 05.09.2025 (Annexure P-4), in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr.Swapan Shorey, DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents and Mr. Arshdeep Bhullar, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3 by filing his Power of Attorney, which is taken on record and they have no serious objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, at this stage.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.
6. At this stage, it would be appropriate to direct the respondent No.3 to decide the legal notice dated 05.09.2025 (Annexure P-4) in view of the judgment passed by Hon’ble Supreme Court in the matter of “**State of Punjab Vs. Jagjit Singh reported as 2016 (4) SCT 641**” within a period of **four months** from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
7. Needless to observe that the respondent No.3 shall pass a speaking and well reasoned order in the light of the above referred judgment as well as relevant rules/instructions and in case, petitioners are found entitled to any consequential benefits, the same shall also be released to them, without any further delay, along with reasonable rate of interest.
8. Disposed of.

06.11.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No