



-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

135

**CRM-M-61862-2025 (O&M)
Decided on: 04.11.2025**

BOBBY SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate with
Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. This is the first petition filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 (Section 438 of Cr.P.C.) seeking grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case bearing FIR No.47 dated 28.07.2025 under Sections 118(1), 115(2), 351(2), 193(3), 190 of BNS, 2023 (section 117(2) of BNS added later on) registered at Police Station Dharamgarh, District Sangrur.

2. Brief facts of the case are that the complainant party had a dispute of land with Gurmail Singh and another of their village. Co-accused had called the petitioner and other 10-11 accused in the field of the complainant and attacked the complainant party with weapons like Kirpan, iron rod etc.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR against the petitioner has been falsely registered to harass him due to village friction. He further contended that initially FIR has been registered against Mana Singh @ Fauji; all the offences are bailable



except offence under Section 118(1) and 117(2) of BNS, the injuries caused to the injured are not attributed to the present petitioner. The petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepted notice on behalf of respondent-State and opposes the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the ground that Mana @ Fauji involved in the commission of offence is also known by the name of Bobby Singh, son of Darshan Singh who had been nominated as Mana @ Fauji son of Kaur Singh i.e. present petitioner. Learned State counsel fairly admits that other co-accused in the present case, have been granted the concession of bail by this Court.

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the contentions made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to join the investigation as and when required by the Investigating Officer and as the offences in the present case are punishable upto 07 years so, the Investigating Officer is directed to follow the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Arnesh Kumar vs. State of Bihar and Another (2014), 8 SCC 273* and *Satender Kumar Antil v. CBI, (2022) 10 SCC 51*.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

04.11.2025
Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO