



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

223

**CRM-M-62028-2025
Date of decision: 11.11.2025**

VIKASH MEENA

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Parveen, Advocate and
Mr. Mohit Rathee, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Ambavta, DAG, Haryana assisted by ASI Ankit.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)

1. Present petition under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.99 dated 29.08.2025, under Section 318(4) BNS (inadvertently written as 316(2) BNS in the petition) and lately added Section 61(2) of BNS, registered at Police Station Cyber Jhajjar.
2. The present case was registered on the basis of complaint given to the police by Sandeep with the allegations that he had been going through an advertisement on Telegram channel regarding Original Badshah Broking Dashboard and Trading with Karol SEBI registered since long, who were showing the profit to the people in the stock market trading. On 14.08.2025, he clicked the link on the said Dashboard and started talking on mobile No.9176653-72062 through Whatsapp and when he clicked the link on Trading with Karol SEBI registered channel, he started talking on mobile No.9193765-89383 and he also made a call on mobile No.9191191-45312. They asked him to deposit money in



- 2-

different accounts for the purpose of earning profit and the complainant started depositing money in the account provided by the accused. When he demanded his money back, they started ignoring him and demanded service charge from him. He was not paid any money and he has been cheated of a sum of Rs.5,35,226/- with a promise to earn profit but no amount has been returned. Petitioner was arrested on 12.09.2025. He applied for bail before the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Jhajjar but the same was rejected.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. However, now the dispute has been settled with the complainant and entire amount has been returned to him. All the offences are Magisterial trial. The investigation and trial will take sufficiently long time to conclude and further detention of the petitioner is not required and he may be released on bail.

5. On the other hand, learned State Counsel has opposed the bail and argued that in view of the gravity of the offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

6. Mr. Bharat Pilania, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant, and filed his *Vakalatnama*, which is taken on record. He admits the factum of compromise and having received the entire amount from the petitioner.

7. As such, the matter has been compromised and the entire amount stands recovered and paid to the complainant. All the offences are Magisterial trial. Investigation and trial will take long time to conclude and no useful purpose

will thus be served by detaining the petitioner in custody and he deserves to be released on bail.

8. Having regard to the aforesaid factual position, but without commenting anything upon the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

11.11.2025
amandeep

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No