



126

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-34663-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 25-02-2025

AJMER SINGH**.....Petitioner****VERSUS****UNION OF INDIA AND ANOTHER****.....Respondent(s)**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mrs. Hema Kakkar, Advocate
For Mr. Shreesh Kakkar, Legal aid counsel
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate
For the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present petition, the prayer of the petitioner is for refund of the excess amount which has been deducted keeping in view the commutation amount of pension granted to the petitioner, as the petitioner was entitled for the grant of full pension after completion of 10 years of his service, which was not returned to him.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled to be paid his entitlement of full pension after period of 10 years.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representations dated 19.08.2017 and 28.10.2018 (Annexures P-2 and P-3) respectively but the same are still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be

satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that in case, the representations dated 19.08.2017 and 28.10.2018 (Annexures P-2 and P-3) respectively have been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same are still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

25-02-2025
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES
Whether reportable: NO