



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

**CRWP-12185-2024(O&M)
Decided on : 21.01.2025**

Manish Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Ajit Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners at the behest of private respondents on account of undue harassment at the behest of private respondents and also to direct the official respondents to not to harass and humiliate the petitioner by implicating in a false case.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners No. 2 and 3 are parents of petitioner No.1. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.3 fell in love and with their free will and wish, they solemnized marriage on 03.12.2024 at Gurudwara Guru Nanak Niwas Sahib, Village Karor Kalan, Tehsil Kharar, Village Mohali.

Counsel also submits that parents of respondent No.3 were not happy with the said marriage, therefore, one day they took away respondent No.3 along by giving assurance that certain rituals are to be performed for completion of marriage, but thereafter, respondent No.3 was never sent back



CRWP-12185 of 2024 O&M)

- 2 -

to petitioner No.1, rather private respondents started extending threats.

3. On the asking of this Court, learned State counsel has filed short reply by way of affidavit of Narinder Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Rural, District Hoshiarpur on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2/State, wherein statements of petitioner No.1 and respondent No.3 have been appended.

4. In the statement of petitioner No.1, it has been categorically stated that as of now there is no threat to his life and in case any such apprehension is realized in future, he would approach the concerned Police Station.

5. Since, petitioner No.1-Manish Kumar himself stated before the Police that there is no danger to his life and liberty, there is no reason to continue the proceedings of the present petition as cause of action does not survive any more.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

January 21, 2025

rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No