

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:167943



(223)

CRM-M-61849-2025

Date of Decision: 02.12.2025

Rupinder Singh @ Goldy

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL.

Present:- Mr. Harsh Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Jangra, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. GREWAL.J (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking regular bail under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 in case FIR No.266 dated 20.06.2025, under Sections 22(C), 29 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Chandimandir, Panchkula.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the prosecution is that 6000 intoxicating tablets of Lomotil were recovered from the possession of co-accused Hardeep Singh and the present petitioner, who is stated to be running a Chemist shop, has been implicated in this case on the basis of disclosure statement made by said Hardeep Singh. It has also been submitted that no recovery has been effected from the present petitioner, therefore, at this stage, involvement of petitioner cannot be

ascertained as there is no evidence on record against him. The petitioner has undergone custody period of 05 months and 08 days in the present case and apart from the disclosure statement made by co-accused, there is no other evidence against the petitioner. It is further submitted that petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case. He, therefore, prays for release of the petitioner on regular bail as the trial is likely to take a long time.

3. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He has filed the status report by way of affidavit of Vikram Nehra, HPS, A.C.P., Panchkula as well as custody certificate in Court, which are taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for 05 months and 08 days as on 01.12.2025. He, upon instructions, submits that although challan has been filed, the trial is yet to commence.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In view of the aforesaid submissions of learned counsel(s) for the parties and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last 05 months and 08 days in the present case and the trial is likely to take a long time, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial as his continuous detention would not serve the ends of justice.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

7. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

02.12.2025
lucky

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No