



Sr. No. 218

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-63785-2024 (O&M)

Dated : 03.03.2025

Amandeep Singh @ Aman

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Avneet, AAG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for granting bail pending trial to petitioner in FIR No. 202 dated 03.11.2024, under Sections 21, 23, 27(a) and 29 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short 'NDPS Act') (Section 21-B of NDPS Act added later on), registered at Police Station Chheharta, District Amritsar.

2. Allegations are that 210 grams of *heroin* (non-commercial) along with Rs.15,000/- drug money was recovered from the co-accused-Ranjit Singh @ Rana.

3. Contends that petitioner was not named in the FIR rather nominated on the disclosure made by co-accused-Ranjit Singh @ Rana from whom alleged recovery of 210 grams *heroin* as well as



Rs.15,000/- drug money was recovered. Also contends that there is no other case under NDPS Act is pending against the petitioner. Lastly contends that alleged contraband recovered is non-commercial in nature.

4. The aforesaid factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State counsel, on instructions from quarter concerned; but opposed the prayer, on the premise that instances of drug menace, are increasing day-by-day in the State of Punjab.

5. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the paper-book.

6. As per prosecution case, 210 gram *heroin* was recovered from co-accused-Ranjit Singh @ Rana and that is non-commercial in nature. Also acknowledged by both sides that there is no other NDPS case pending against the petitioner.

7. In view of the above, there is no option, except to allow the petition.

8. Consequently, petition is allowed. Petitioner shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Special Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

9. Petitioner shall appear on each & every date of hearing and shall fully co-operate with learned Special Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournment(s).

10. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case, in any manner.

11. It is clarified that if there is any recurrence or misuse of concession on the part of the petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

03.03.2025
Harish Kumar

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No