



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

306 CR-7375-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.09.2025

Asha Rani and others ...Petitioners

V/s

Sukhraj Singh ...Respondent

CORAM : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Dr. R.K. Singla, Advocate, for the respondent.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

The present revision petition, preferred under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, assails order dated 26.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Court of Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Ajnala, vide which the application under Order 38 Rule 5 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 filed by the respondent-plaintiff, was allowed.

2. During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the parties have arrived at a consensus.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that during the pendency of the suit, the property owned and possessed by the petitioners-defendants No.1 and 2, which is situated in Himachal Pradesh and finds mention in the *Jamabandi* for the year 2019-20, shall not be alienated.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent-plaintiff is agreeable to the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel for the petitioners and submits that the instant revision petition be disposed of in the said terms.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

September 23, 2025

vcgarg	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No