



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CRM-M-61709-2025
Date of Decision : 14.11.2025

KARAN MASIH

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present: Mr. Manbir Singh Basra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition for grant of anticipatory bail has been filed by petitioner, a co-accused in case bearing FIR No.100 dated 15.09.2025 registered against him at Police Station Ghanie Ke Bangar, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur, at the instance of Satnam Masih (complainant), for the commission of offences punishable u/s 109, 115(2), 118(1) 191(3), 190 of BNS and Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act (Sections 117(2), 118(2) of BNS added later on).
2. Status report dated 13.11.2025 by way of affidavit of Mr. Vipin Kumar, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Fatehgarh Churian, Police District Batala, has been filed on behalf of the respondent-State, which is taken on record. In para 8 thereof, the factum of petitioner having joined the investigation and having got recovered the chain of the motorcycle, have been admitted.
3. No medical opinion with regard to the nature of injury attributed to the present petitioner has been pointed out in the status report. Though at page 5 of the said



report, it has been clarified that injuries attributed to the petitioner are 'Simple' in nature (Section 115(2) of BNS).

4. On 04.11.2025, following order was passed.

“This petition for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 482 BNSS, has been filed by petitioner, an accused in case bearing FIR No.100 dated 15.09.2025 registered against him at Police Station Ghanie Ke Bangar, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur, at the instance of Satnam Masih (complainant), for the commission of offences punishable u/s 109, 115(2), 118(1) 191(3), 190 of BNS and Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act (Sections 117(2), 118(2) of BNS added later on).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the falsity of the case set up by the complainant party is apparent from the fact that there has been an unexplained delay of 01 day in lodging the FIR. Moreover, the incident did not occur in the manner as portrayed by complainant party, for some of the members of the petitioner side also suffered serious injuries. At their instance, cross-case was also registered. Continuing further, learned counsel contends that even if the version as mentioned in the FIR is taken to be true at its face value (though not admitted), the only role attributed to the petitioner is that being armed with an iron chain, he hit the complainant with the same, however, the seal of the injury has not been specified by the complainant. Further, even the perusal of the MLR does not substantiate the allegations qua present petitioner.

Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called by the Investigating Officer.

Heard.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and prays for time to file status report in the matter.

Adjourned to 14.11.2025.



In the meanwhile, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and co-operate in the same. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.”

- 5. Keeping in view the fact that petitioner has joined the investigation, interim bail granted vide order dated 04.11.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.
- 6. The petition stands allowed.
- 7. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

14.11.2025
Nisha Yadav

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>