



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

242

CRM-M No.61851 of 2025
Date of decision:11.11.2025

Bobby ... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. Abhishek Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Roshandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab,
for the respondent-State.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (For short “BNSS”) seeking regular bail in the FIR mentioned below:-

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
92	12.06.2024	Civil Lines, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar	379-B nd 34 of IPC

2. Brief facts relevant for the purpose of disposal of this petition are that the aforementioned FIR was registered on the basis of a statement recorded by the complainant Saurab Chauhan alleging that on

2025:PHHC:155686



28.05.2024, he was going on his vehicle make Jupiter TVS bearing No.PB89-7704 and when he reached at the park near Joshi Colony, two youths riding a black Activa came in front of his vehicle thereby making him to stop. They suddenly snatched his neck gold chain and managed to escape. After registration of FIR, investigation proceedings were initiated. The accused Vishal was arrested and suffered disclosure statement admitting his involvement in the crime and also about the involvement of the present petitioner on the basis of which the present petitioner was nominated as an accused and was arrested on 02.09.2024. Investigation now stands completed.

3. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that he has been falsely implicated in this case. He was not named in the FIR. He is in custody since 02.09.2024. His further incarceration would not serve any useful purpose. His involvement in the other cases cannot be stated to a reason for denying benefit of bail to him. It is, accordingly, urged that he deserves to be released on bail.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Roshandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab has advance notice of the petition and is ready to argue the matter. It is submitted by him that there are serious allegations against the petitioner who is a habitual offender. There are chances of his absconding or committing similar offences if extended benefit of bail. It is, therefore, urged that he does not deserve to

2025:PHHC:155686



be released on bail.

6. This Court has considered the rival submissions.

7. The petitioner along with the co-accused is alleged to have snatched a gold chain belonging to the complainant as on 28.05.2024. Matter was reported to the police after a gap of 14 days for which no explanation has been given. No recovery has been effected from the petitioner. He is in custody since 02.09.2024. Trial will take time to conclude since material witnesses are yet to be examined. The petitioner is certainly involved in other cases but on this ground alone, he cannot be denied bail. Taking into consideration the above stated facts but without meaning to make any comment on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds as well as surety bonds by two sureties to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned. He shall appear before the SHO Police Station Civil Lines, Amritsar once on the first Monday of every month. He will not intimidate, induce or extend any threat to the prosecution witnesses and will not try to contact them during the pendency of the trial. He will also disclose his present as well as permanent address before the learned trial Court at the time of furnishing of bonds and shall also give copy of his Aadhar Card, PAN Card if any and details of his mobile phone number(s) to the learned trial Court and in case, any change in his address or mobile phone number



takes place, then he shall inform about the same to the learned trial Court
in advance.

11.11.2025
manju

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No