



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-63721-2024

Date of Decision: 17.03.2025

Jaswinder Singh

...Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Gurminder Singh, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. R.S. Gill, Advocate and
Mr. Karambir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.13, dated 27.05.2024, under Sections 409,420,467,468,471 of IPC read with Section 120-B of IPC and Section 13 of P.C Act, registered at Police Station ACB, Ambala, (Annexure P-1).

2. This case has been heard on various dates by this Court and while granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court on 30.01.2025, the following contentions were noticed by this Court and the same have been reproduced below:-

“Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case at the instance of the local M.L.A. In fact, neither any money was transferred in the account of the petitioner or any of his family members nor any amount was mis-appropriated by him.

Learned senior counsel further submits that the petitioner had no powers to approve or disapprove the proposals, which were verified and submitted by SDO, Zila Parishad, Kaithal. He further submits that the petitioner was posted as D.D.O, Kaithal and was holding an additional charge of Deputy C.E.O Zila Parishad, Kaithal and as per the rules of the department, the proposals regarding tied works were checked at the spot and verified by the officials of Engineering Wing of the department. He further contends that even during the course of enquiry, the petitioner had joined the investigation and had clarified the role and the duties, which were performed by him as Deputy C.E.O Zila Parishad, Kaithal. He further contends that till date, the CEO Zila Parishad, Kaithal has not been made arrayed as an accused, who was final signing authority in the present case and no illegality was committed by him, while signing the files in routine, which were already verified by the J.E/S.D of the department. He further contends that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be required in the facts and circumstances of the present case.”

3. During the course of arguments, learned senior counsel has reiterated the submissions and submits that the petitioner did not receive even a single penny in his personal accounts and had followed the due process of law, while sanctioning the bills. He further contends that in fact, the CEO, Zila Parishad, Kaithal was the principal accused in the present case, however, he has been permitted to join the investigation illegally in the present case. Since, the case is based on documentary evidence and the custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be required, at this stage.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel does not dispute the fact that the petitioner has already joined the investigation in the present case and the custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be required to recover and

procure any document.

5. In view of the above, the interim order dated 30.01.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

17.03.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No