



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(113)

CWP No. 34220 of 2024

Date of Decision : 20.01.2025

Ritu and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State Transport Commissioner, Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Rajinder Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that the petitioners had submitted a legal notice dated 30.11.2024 (Annexure P-5) for approving the joint time table of all the operators who are operating on a route from Batala to Molvi and Fatehgarh Churian to Adda Dhir, which is not being done.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners will be satisfied in case a direction is given to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 30.11.2024 (Annexure P-5) by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated



30.11.2024 (Annexure P-5) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

January 20, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No