

2025:PHHC:017077

189 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARHCOCP-5204-2024 (O&M)
Decided on:-05.02.2025

Sumit

....Petitioner..

vs.

Shri Vinay Kumar (IRPS), Secretary, HSSC,
Panchkula and another

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJAPresent: Mr.Jasbir Mor, Advocate with
Mr. Girender Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate with
Mr. Arnav Goel, Advocate,
for respondent No.2-UHBVN.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. Learned State counsel relies upon a communication dated 05.02.2025, to contend that the name of the petitioner has been recommended for the post of ALM being dependent of ex-serviceman.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2-UHBVN, submits that in terms thereof, the petitioner shall be given appointment/joining within two weeks from today.

2. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner does not want to press the present petition.

3. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as not pressed.

4. However, in case the needful is not done within the afore-stated period, as per undertaking, the petitioner would be at liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition and in such eventuality, the erring concerned officer(s) would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioner towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.
5. Rule stands discharged.

05.02.2025
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/ No