

CWP-32547-2025

2025:PHHC:172018



1

126 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-32547-2025
Date of Decision: 10.12.2025

AVTAR SINGH THROUGH HIS GENERAL POWER OF
ATTORNEY

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kaumr Tada, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Bala, Advocate for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Sudhanshu Makkar, Advocate for respondent No.3.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J (ORAL)

1. Counsel for the petitioner has made a reference to order dated 03.11.2025 and submits that an objection was raised by respondent No.3-BBMB that petitioner has an alternative remedy of approaching the District Magistrate concerned under Section 16 of the Indian Telegraph Act, 1885. Counsel submits that during the pendency of the petition, a representation/objections dated 04.11.2025 has been submitted before the District Magistrate vide Diary No.9236/P.A.TO D.C. on 04.11.2025.

2. Ms. Rajni Bala, Advocate has put in appearance and has filed her *vakalatnama* on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2-PSPCL, which is taken on record.

3. Counsel for the respondents is not in a position to deny the pendency of the representation/objections.



4. In view thereof, writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to decide the representation/objections dated 04.11.2025 within a period of four months from the date of communication of the copy of this order.

10.12.2025

Kusum

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>