



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-64210-2024
Date of decision: 16.01.2025

DAYANAND AND OTHERS ...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER ..RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Ashish Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Akash Lather, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Rana, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Status report dated 13.01.2025 in the form of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Hansi, District Hisar, has been filed. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 07.12.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
427	19.07.2024	191 (2), 296, 351 (2), 62, 190, 329 (4) of BNS	Hansi City, District Hisar.



CRM-M-64210-2024

2

3. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/affidavit 07.12.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Hansi, vide report dated 08.01.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. A copy of Receipt of costs of Rs. 40,000/- has been placed on record in compliance of the order dated 19.12.2024.

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 does not oppose the compromise having been effected between the parties.

5. In view of the report of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Hansi, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “**Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another**”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the respondents have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 427 dated 19.07.2024 under Sections 191 (2), 296, 351 (2), 62, 190, 329 (4) of BNS, registered at Police Station Hansi City, District Hisar, and all



CRM-M-64210-2024

3

consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

16.01.2025

kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |