



220

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-61519-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.12.2025

HARMAN SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : None.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Today lawyers are abstaining from work.
2. The prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.134, dated 15.08.2025, registered under Sections 118(1) and 3(5) of BNS, 2023, at Police Station Maur, District Bathinda.
3. On 03.11.2025, the following order was passed:-

“1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.134, dated 15.08.2025, under Sections 118(1) and 3(5) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Maur, District Bathinda.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Both the parties have been heard and material on file has been perused.

4. As per allegations in the FIR, on 06.08.2025 at about 08:30-09:00 pm, some people had gathered as drain of house of the complainant-Ajay Singh was blocked, where accused also reached, out of whom accused Maninder Singh was armed with a small sword (kirch). Complainant asked the accused as to why drain has been blocked, on which they entered into an altercation and alleged that they will close the drain. Harman Singh (petitioner) caught hold of the complainant, while



Maninder Singh (co-accused) gave a kirch blow above the eye of the complainant and caused injuries to him.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that main accused namely, Maninder Singh to whom the kirch blow is attributed was arrested and has been released on regular bail. Weapon of offence has also been recovered. The allegations against the petitioner are that he had only caught hold of the victim. The victim has suffered simple injuries. Learned counsel further contended that petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in favour of the petitioner.

6. On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by ASI Ashok Kumar has opposed the bail and argued that petitioner has committed a heinous offence. No doubt injuries are simple in nature but petitioner along with co-accused wanted to forcibly block the drain of the house of the complainant and when he resisted, they caused injuries to him and in view of the gravity of the offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

7. Adjourned to 18.12.2025 for filing status report. Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

- i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;*
- iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section."*

4. Today, SI Harbans Singh has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 03.11.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 03.11.2025, whereby



the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute.
However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the
Investigating Officer.

- 6. Disposed of.
- 7. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed
of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

18.12.2025
Vishal Vardhan

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No