



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.220

**TA-1632-2024
Date of Decision: 08.08.2025**

VEENA RANI

....Applicant

Versus

KHUSHWANT MANGLA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI

Present:- Mr. J.S.Thind, Advocate
for the applicant.

None for the respondent.

ARCHANA PURI, J. (Oral)

Perusal of the paperbook reveals that on the last date of hearing, despite service, the respondent did not make appearance. Even today, he has not made appearance. As such, the respondent is proceeded against *ex parte*.

The counsel for the applicant heard.

The applicant-wife has filed the present application for seeking transfer of the petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, titled '*Veena Rani v/s Khushwant Mangla*', filed by the respondent-husband, pending in the Family Court, Derabassi and she seeks transfer of the same to the Family Court, Dabwali, District Sirsa.



At the very outset, it is submitted by the counsel for the applicant that the marriage between the parties had taken place on 24.06.2007 and two sons born from the said wedlock, who are about 17 and 15 years old, are in the custody of the respondent. The applicant is not having any source of earning. The applicant has filed petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C., which is pending in Family Court, Dabwali. Also, the respondent is facing trial in FIR No.0223 dated 03.08.2022 under Section 323/498-A/506/34 IPC, in the courts at Dabwali, District Sirsa. Besides the same, the applicant has filed petition for seeking custody of both the children, which is also pending in Family Court, Dabwali. Also, the distance between the two places is stated to be 240 kms.

In view of the submissions made aforesaid, it is pertinent to mention that generally the courts lean towards the convenience of the wife, in case of transfer applications, relating to the matrimonial dispute, but however, the same is not a thumb rule. Various other circumstances coming forth, ought to be taken into consideration. In the case in hand, the respondent has not come forward to resist the transfer application. Given the same, considering the fact of the applicant not having any source of earning and other litigation already initiated at the instance of the applicant, on account of the matrimonial dispute, already pending in the courts at Dabwali, the transfer application is hereby allowed and the petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, titled '*Veena Rani v/s Khushwant Mangla*', filed by the respondent-husband, stands transferred from the Family Court, Derabassi, District SAS Nagar (Mohali) to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Family Court (Camp Court), Dabwali, District



Sirsa. The requisite record of the aforesaid case be sent by the Family Court Derabassi, to the District and Sessions Judge, Sirsa.

Learned District and Sessions Judge, Sirsa, shall assign the said petition to the Family Court (Camp Court), Dabwali. Even, the parties are directed to appear before the Family Court (Camp Court), Dabwali within a period of one month from today onwards.

08.08.2025
Sonu

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No