



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

282

CRM-M-64521-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 20.05.2025

AVTAR SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present:- Mr. J.S. Mohri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sanjeev K. Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Nagar Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J.

[1] The present petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS, 2023 for quashing of FIR No.90 dated 18.10.2024 under Section 379 IPC (Sections 427 and 201 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station City Chamkaur Sahib, District Rupnagar on the basis of compromise deed dated 13.12.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR was registered at the instance of respondent No.2 on account of a misconception between the parties. He further contends that the matter has been settled between the parties and compromise deed dated 13.12.2024 (Annexure P-2) has been executed between them. He submits that respondent No.2 does not



want to take any action in the FIR.

[3] Learned counsel for respondent No.2 confirms about the factum of compromise between the parties.

[4] On 20.12.2024, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements regarding the compromise.

[5] As per the report dated 09.04.2025 received from Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Rupnagar forwarded through the office of District and Sessions Judge, Rupnagar, statements of the parties and Investigating Officer have been recorded. There is only one accused namely Avtar Singh. He has never been declared as proclaimed offender. There is only one complainant namely Kuljinder Singh. The complainant has no objection for acceptance of the compromise between the parties. The compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

[6] Learned State counsel has not raised any objection regarding the acceptance of the present petition.

[7] I have heard the aforesaid submissions and perused the paper-book.

[8] Keeping in view the fact that the compromise between the parties is genuine and voluntarily made and in view of the ratio of the decision of Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab (SC) 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and the decision of Hon'ble the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the ends of justice would meet if the FIR and consequential proceedings are quashed by accepting the compromise between the parties.



[9] Consequently, this petition is allowed and FIR No.90 dated 18.10.2024 under Section 379 IPC (Sections 427 and 201 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station City Chamkaur Sahib, District Rupnagar and all the subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom are ordered to be quashed, qua the petitioner.

[10] However, the respondent No.2 and the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of this order, in case the final terms and conditions of compromise (Annexure P-2) are violated.

[11] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

20.05.2025

P.Bhatt	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	Yes/No