



CWP-34308-2024 (1)

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

[136] CWP-34308-2024
Date of Decision: 06.02.2025

RAM SINGH JAT PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr.Ajay Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G. Haryana with
Ms. Svaneel Jaswal, Addl. A.G. Haryana,
Mr.P.P. Chahar, Sr. DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana and
Mr. Karan Jindal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate and
Mr. M.S.Rana, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 5.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. After arguing for some time, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks and is granted liberty to withdraw the instant writ petition.
2. Dismissed as withdrawn
3. However, with a further liberty to the present petitioner to assail the apposite order through casting an appeal under sub-Section (2) of Section 10 of the Petroleum And Minerals Pipelines (Acquisitioin of Right of Under In Land) Act, 1962 (*hereinafter for short refer to as the “Act of 1962”*).
4. If the said appellate remedy is time barred, thereupon on an application cast under Section 14 of the Limitation Act, 1963, or under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963, becoming appended therewith, thereupon a well considered order shall be passed thereons, and, thereafter the appellate motion shall be decided through a valid speaking order. The said appellate motion be decided within three months from today, but hearing all the affected persons



CWP-34308-2024 (2)

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of accordingly.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

06.02.2025

Anjal Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes