



120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-32738-2025

Date of Decision:06.11.2025

Sanjib Dharwal and others

...Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Rajinder Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant and release minimum of pay scale attached to the sanctioned post of Tuberculosis Health Visitor along with dearness allowance, arrears and interest to the petitioners working as TB Health Visitor(TBHV), on the basis of the law settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***State of Punjab vs. Jagjit Singh and others (Civil Appeal No.213-2013 and connected matters)*** and in the light of speaking order dated 08.05.2025 (Annexure P-7) passed by respondent No.3, on the basis of judgment dated 27.02.2024 (Annexure P-6) and speaking order dated 26.08.2025 (Annexure P-10) passed by respondent No.3 granting and releasing the benefit to the similarly situated employees.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the case of the petitioners is squarely covered by the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***State of Punjab vs. Jagjit Singh and others.***

3. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 18.06.2025 (Annexure P-9) to the respondents and he shall be satisfied in case, appropriate directions are issued to the

respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 18.06.2025 (Annexure P-9) in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents No.1, 2 and 4 and Mr. Arshdeep Bhullar, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3 and they have no serious objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, at this stage.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

7. At this stage, it would be appropriate to direct respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 18.06.2025 (Annexure P-9) in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***State of Punjab vs. Jagjit Singh and others***, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

8. Needless to observe that respondent No.3 shall pass a speaking and well reasoned order in the light of the above referred judgment as well as relevant rules/instructions and in case, it is found that the petitioners are entitled to any consequential relief, the same may also be released to them forthwith, alongwith a reasonable rate of interest.

9. The present petition is disposed of, accordingly.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

06.11.2025
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No