



117 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-33925-2024

Date of Decision : 16-01-2025

JASWINDER KAUR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Dhiraj Chawla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, DAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submit that the petitioner had worked for more than 2 ½ decade but her services were not regularised upto to the date she attained the age of superannuation hence, the respondents are liable to consider the claim for the regularisation of her services so that, at this stage, the petitioner could get the pensionary benefits so as to sustain herself.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that law raised in the present petition has already been decided by this Court while passing order in *CWP No.26714 of 2019 titled "Hira Devi and ors. Vs. State of Punjab and ors."*, decided on 19.07.2024, wherein to consider the claim of the employees who have discharged more than 2 decades hence, keeping in view the above, the respondents may kindly be directed to

consider the claim of the petitioner for regularisation of her services especially when the petitioner has already filed a representation on 04.01.2022, copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-10

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Akshita Chauhan, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, a representation is received at the hands of the petitioner by placing reliance upon the judgment in ***CWP No.26714 of 2019 titled "Hira Devi and ors. Vs. State of Punjab and ors."***, decided on ***19.07.2024***, the same will be decided within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner for her information and necessary action.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate representation.

6. Ordered accordingly.

16-01-2025

Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES
Whether reportable: NO