



**302 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-61834-2025

Date of Decision: 14.11.2025

Chetan Kumar and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Diya Sodhi, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for issuance of direction to the respondents to allow the petitioners to go outside the Jail in compliance of order dated 02.09.2024 (Annexure P-3) passed by respondent No.2 and allow them to perform their duties as after issuing the order dated 02.09.2024 by respondent No.2, the petitioners started performing their duties outside the Jail and now the petitioners are facing huge financial loss due to the adamant behavior of respondent No.3. Further prayer has been made that respondent No.2 be directed to decide the representation dated 12.08.2025 (Annexure P-14) served by the petitioners to respondents No.1 and 2.

Short reply way of affidavit of Amit Kumar, Superintendent of Jail, Central Jail-I, Hisar filed in Court is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to counsel opposite.

In pursuance to the order passed by this Court on 07.11.2025, Mr. Amit Kumar, Superintendent Jail, Hisar is present in Court. The Court has interacted with Jail Superintendent, who has apprised the Court that earlier order dated 02.09.2024 passed by DGP, has been subsequently withdrawn vide order dated 28.04.2025. He has submitted that as earlier there was no work inside the

**CRM-M-61834-2025****-2-**

jail, the prisoners were allowed to go outside the jail, but as on date, there is work available inside the jail, hence, there is no exigency for sending the prisoners outside the jail. They are assigned the work inside the jail itself.

In view of the same, this Court finds no merit in the present petition and hence, the same is hereby dismissed. However, the petitioners are at liberty to avail their remedy in case any further cause of action accrues to them.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)**JUDGE****14.11.2025**

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No