



**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-33952-2024

Date of Decision : 30-01-2025

KARNAIL SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr.T.P.S Chawla, Sr. DAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the prayer of the petitioners is that their pay should be re-fixed/revised by taking into account multiplier factor of 2.59 on basic pay w.e.f. 01.01.2016 and they should be granted the arrears of pension from the aforementioned date along with interest @ 18% p.a. The further prayer of the petitioners is that the benefit of the revision of the pension should also be given to the retirees who retired prior to 01.01.2016.

2. A bare perusal of the pleadings would show that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 18.08.2024 (Annexure P-11) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S Chawla, Sr.DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 18.08.2024 (Annexure P-11) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned State counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioners for their information and necessary action.

5. Keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, no further order is required to be passed in the present writ petition at this stage and the same is accordingly disposed of.

30-01-2025
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES
Whether reportable: NO