



129

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-32629-2025

Date of decision: 11.12.2025

M/s Gandotra Builders

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Rajesh Girdhar, Advocate and
Ms. Kirandeep Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Arora, DAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to release due payment of Rs.1,74,89,000/- *qua* the work of construction of Tehsil Complex with Fard Centre and Patwar Station at Kalanaur in District Gurdaspur executed by the petitioner along with interest @ 12% per annum.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner has completed the construction of Tehsil Complex at Kalanaur in District Gurdaspur and he is entitled to the balance amount of Rs.174.89 lakh. The communication with regard to the release of amount was sent by the Executive Engineer to respondent No.6 vide communication (Annexure P-3). However, till date, the amount in question has not been disbursed.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Mr. Rohin Saini, SDO,



CWP-32629-2025

-2-

PWD (BRR), submits that all admissible dues would be paid to the petitioner within a period of two months.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied at this stage if the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of the undertaking given before this Court by the learned State counsel.

5. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority/respondent(s) to pay the admissible amount in question to the petitioner within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, there is any further delay, the petitioner would be entitled to the interest @ 6% per annum (to be calculated after three months of the completion of the project till the date of actual payment). Further, it is made clear that in case, any deviation is made from the undertaking given on behalf of State of Punjab, the petitioner would be at liberty to move an appropriate application under Article 215 of the Constitution of India for initiating contempt of Court proceedings against the respondents.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

11.12.2025*Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No