

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-63524-2024
Date of decision: 30.01.2025

MANMOHITPAL SINGH
....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB
....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Mohit Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Shubham Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Dishant Jindal, Advocate for
Mr. Sartej Singh Narula, Advocate for the complainant.


.....
SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS,
2023, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as
under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
238	28.11.2024	304(2), 115(2) 126(2), 351(2), 324(4), 191(3), 190 of BNS	Division No.6, Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana District Ludhiana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance
to the order dated 15.01.2025, the petitioner has joined the investigation.
3. During the course of hearing on 15.01.2025, following order
had been passed: -

“ Mr. S.S. Virk, Additional Commissioner of Police,
Industrial Area-B, Ludhiana is present in Court and has
submitted his affidavit, which is taken on record.




2. *Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record the copy of settlement dated 14.01.2025 having been effected between the parties.*
3. *Learned counsel for the complainant has not disputed the factum of compromise having been effected between the parties and has submitted that the complainant has no objection if petitioner is granted concession of bail.*
4. *Be it the case, without commenting on the merits, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/ surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.*
5. *List on 30.01.2025.*
6. *Investigating Officer of the case to remain present in Court along with record on that date.”*

4. Learned State counsel on instructions received from ASI Dilbagh Rai informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the bail.

6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 15.01.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 15.01.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the



evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

- 7. The petition stands allowed.
- 8. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

30.01.2025
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |