



**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-63851-2024
Date of decision: 06.03.2025**

SURAAJ MOHAMMAD ALIAS SURAAJ KHAN

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Sameydeen, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS 2023, seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.46 dated 14.11.2024 under Section 20 of NDPS Act (Section 25 of NDPS Act added later on) registered at Police Station City Ahmedgarh, District Malerkotla.

2. Status report by way of an affidavit dated 03.03.2025 of Rajan Sharma, PPS, Sub Division Ahmedgarh, District Malerkotla on behalf of respondent-State has been filed. The same is taken on record. A copy thereof be supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. On 19.12.2024, following order was passed:

“Petitioner-Suraaaj Mohammad @ Suraaaj Khan has filed petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.46 dated 14.11.2024 under Section 20 of NDPS Act (Section 25 of NDPS Act added later on) registered at Police Station City Ahmedgarh, District Malerkotla.

Learned counsel for petitioner stated that the petitioner is registered owner of Motorcycle No.PB-13-AT-4973 which was borrowed by his neighbour Sadam



Hussain @ Dami in his absence. He was not present at the spot. The petitioner is always ready and willing to join investigation

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Mr.Kewal Singh, Addl.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State.

Learned counsel for the State pointed out that earlier petitioner was involved in another FIR but in that case cancellation report has been submitted. He seeks an adjournment to file detailed status report. Let the same be filed by the next date of hearing.

Adjourned to 06.03.2025.

In the meantime, arrest of present petitioner is stayed till the next date of hearing, subject to his joining investigation.”

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector Randeep Kumar, submits that in compliance of order dated 19.12.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. Keeping in view the statement made by learned State Counsel, the order dated 19.12.2024, is made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions enumerated in Section 482 (2) BNSS.
6. The petition is accordingly disposed of.
7. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed to be an expression of opinion by this Court lest it may prejudice the trial. The learned trial Court is directed to proceed with the trial on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law.

March 06, 2025
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No