

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025.PHHC.149042



(101)

CRM-M-63744-2024
Decided on : 30.10.2025

Yadwinder Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Chandanpreet, Advocate for
Mr. Anureet S. Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate for the complainant.

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.108 dated 06.11.2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420 of IPC, at Police Station Sanaur, District Patiala.

2. On 06.05.2025, the following order was passed:

“SI Gurdeep Singh, Investigating Officer of the case is present in Court along with record.

2. Heard.

3. After hearing the arguments and as informed by the Investigating Officer that there is no document to show that any payment was received by the petitioner in his account.

4. This being the case, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within

seven days from today and co-operate in the same. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.

5. List on 23.05.2025.”

3. The mediation proceedings were initiated at the instance of the parties concerned, but the same could not be fructified. Learned State counsel (on instructions from ASI Dharam Singh) has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation but his custodial interrogation is required for effecting recovery of the money in question.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by arguing that allegations raised against the petitioner are serious in nature and in case the petitioner is extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all the likelihood that he may interfere with the investigation as also intimidate the witnesses and also the FIR-complainant.

5. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand, especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated therein except recovery of money in question, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 06.05.2025 granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be

confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

8. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

9. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

October 30, 2025
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No