

2025:PHHC:175938



206.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-34016-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 19.12.2025

Jatinder Singh and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Vishesh Bhatia, Advocate and
Mr. Viranjeet Singh Mahal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 21.08.2024 (Annexure P-8) passed by the respondents; a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioners, who are working as daily wager/work charge employees with the respondents on daily wage in pursuance to policy dated 16.05.2023 (Annexure P-5), and to pay them minimum of pay scale plus dearness allowance plus grade pay along with arrears in lieu of the judgment of this Court in CWP No.19238 of 2013 and the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in *State of Punjab Versus Jagjit Singh, (2017) 1 SCC 148*, from the day the petitioners have completed 10 years of service with the respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the case of the petitioners for regularization is squarely covered with the judgment of this Court in CWP No.19238 of 2013 titled as *Amrish Sharma and others Versus State of Punjab and others* and other connected cases, decided on 26.02.2024, which has been upheld in LPA No.2032 of 2024, titled as *State of Punjab and others Versus Sarwan Ram and others*, and other connected cases, decided on 16.05.2025.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Charanjeet Singh, Clerk, Department of Forest, Punjab, submits that petitioners No.3, 4, 6 to 14, 16, 18 to 22, 26 and 27 have already been regularized on 30.07.2025 and the cases of remaining petitioners i.e. 1, 2, 5, 15, 17, 23 to 25 are under consideration in view of said judgments and the same shall be finalized within a period of two months from today.

4. In view of the statement of learned State counsel, the instant petition has been rendered infructuous and disposed of as such. Needless to mention here that the claim of the petitioners No. 1, 2, 5, 15, 17, 23 to 25 for regularization shall be considered and decided within a period of two months, and grant them all consequential benefits accordingly, if found entitled to, within a further period of one month from the date of passing of the said order.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

December 19, 2025
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No