



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

296

CRM-M-64729-2024

Date of decision: February 13th, 2025

Chajju Ram

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Rajan Garg, Advocate
for Mr. Ashwani Vaishnav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Mohan, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Jaglan, Advocate
for Mr. Naresh Kumar Ganga, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.501 dated 22.08.2022 registered under Sections 323, 325, 326, 452 and 506 of the IPC and Section 3(2)(v) of The Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Act, 1989 at Police Station Adampur, District Hisar, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 20.12.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 13.01.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Additional Sessions Judge, Hisar, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.
4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.
5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.
6. In view of the report of the learned trial Court and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.
7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

February 13th, 2025
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No