



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216+217

CRM-M-61370-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.11.2025

Shelly alias Amit Kumar
....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab
...Respondent
CRM-M-62098-2025 (O&M)

Jagpreet Singh
....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Kritima Sareen,
Advocate for the petitioner in CRM-M-61370-2025

Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Keerat Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-62098-2025

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. This common order shall dispose of above-mentioned petitions as they arise out of the same FIR.
2. Prayer in the present petitions filed under Section 483 BNSS is for grant of regular bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.33 dated 04.08.2025, registered under Sections 7/8 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 420, 465, 466, 468, 471 & 120-B IPC and 74 of Information Technology Act, 2000 at Police Station Vigilance Bureau, Range Amritsar, District Amritsar.
3. Learned counsel contends that the petitioners have been in custody for more than 3 months. They are private persons and have been falsely implicated in the case. There is no evidence to connect them with the alleged offence. Challan was presented on 01.10.2025, however, charges have not been framed. In all there



are 37 prosecution witnesses. The petitioners are not involved in any other case.

4. The custody certificates dated 11.11.2025, filed by the learned State counsel is taken on record. As per the same, the petitioners are behind bars for 3 months and 5 days.

5. Learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground that there are specific allegations against the petitioners of duplicating documents for issuance of licenses, which already stood issued in the name of someone else. However, he is unable to controvert the submissions with regard to stage and the petitioners being not involved in any other case.

6. Heard.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioners are in custody for the last 3 months and 5 days; not involved in any other case; challan stands presented on 01.10.2025, however, charges are yet to be framed; there are a total of 37 prosecution witnesses, the trial is likely to take a considerable time, further incarceration of the petitioners would be violative of their right enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, the present petitions are allowed.

8. The petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case and shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioners will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
- (ii) The petitioners will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witnesses.
- (iii) The petitioners will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by a specific



order of Court.

- (iv) The petitioners shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which, they are accused, or for commission of which they are suspected of.
- (v) The petitioners shall not directly or indirectly coerce, induce, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/ her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
- (vi) The petitioners shall not in any manner misuse his liberty.
- (vii) The petitioners shall furnish their address and mobile number by way of an affidavit to the trial Court and not change the same till conclusion of trial and if for any reasons, they seek to change either of the aforesaid, it shall be done only with prior information to the learned trial Court.
- (viii) The petitioners shall not leave the country without prior permission of the trial Court.
- (ix) The trial Court/Duty Magistrate may impose any other condition, as deemed appropriate while releasing the petitioners.

9. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioners by this order.

10. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

11. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

12.11.2025
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No