

CRWP-11696-2025-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

101CRWP-11696-2025
Date of Decision: 26.11.2025

SANDEEP...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Vipin Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Satjot Singh Chahal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

- The petition has been filed seeking a direction to respondents no.1 to 3 to protect the petitioner’s life and liberty as he is continuously being harassed by the sixth respondent, who wants him to rejoin the ruling party.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case respondents are directed to take appropriate action on his representations dated 04.09.2025 and 23.09.2025, Annexures P-6 and P-7, respectively.
3. Learned State counsel contends that appropriate action upon the representations will be taken by the third respondent/Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, urgently, and the protection, if required, will be provided.
4. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.
5. Ordered accordingly.

26.11.2025Ad

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE