



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

112

CWP-32329-2025

Date of decision: 06.11.2025

MAMTA AND OTHERS

....PETITIONERS

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**Present:** Mr. Parvez Chugh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed the present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issuance of a Writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing the respondents to pay to the petitioners salary in the minimum regular pay scale with dearness allowance from the date of their appointment and to pay the arrears for the said period alongwith interest @ 18% per annum in terms of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of "*State of Punjab and others Vs. Jagjit Singh and others, 2016 (4) SCT 641*" and also in terms of judgment dated 25.11.2024 (Annexure P-7) passed by this Court in CWP-26367-2017, titled as "*Pritpal Kaur and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Anr*". A further prayer has been made to issue a writ directing the respondents to consider and regularize the services of the petitioners on the basis of length of service of the petitioners and to allow the petitioners to continue on the posts of District Accountants/Block Accountants-cum-cashiers till the times the posts are not filled up through regular selected candidates.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners have already served a legal notices (Annexure P-4) to the official respondents and no



decision has been conveyed to them by the respondents so far and they shall be satisfied, in case appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.3 to decide the legal notices (Annexure P-4), in terms of the judgment of Hon’ble the Supreme Court rendered in **Jagjit Singh’s case (supra)** and also in terms of judgment of this Court passed in **Pritpal Kaur’s case (supra)**.

3. Notice of motion.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2, while Mr. Arshdeep Bhullar, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.3.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case file.
6. At this stage, this Court deems it appropriate to direct Mission Director, National Health Mission Punjab, Department of Health and Family Welfare, Punjab-respondent No. 3 to decide the legal notices (Annexure P-4) submitted by the petitioners within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. While deciding the legal notices, respondent No.3 shall take into consideration the observations made by Hon’ble the Supreme Court in **Jagjit Singh’s case (supra)** and the observations recorded by this Court in **Pritpal Kaur’s case (supra)**.
7. It is expected that respondent No.3 shall pass a speaking and well reasoned order and in case it is found that the petitioners are entitled to any consequential benefits, the same may also be allowed to them forthwith along with reasonable rate of interest.
8. Disposed of.

06.11.2025
mks

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No