

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:106819



(220)

COC-5164-2024
Decided on : 18.08.2025

Arjun

.....Petitioner

Versus

Amit Kumar IAS, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr.Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Manbir Singh Batth, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr.Tanmoy Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. The present contempt petition has been filed for non-compliance of the order dated 12.09.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed in CWP-23049-2024 titled *Arjun Vs. Union Territory, Chandigarh & others*. Order dated 12.09.2024 is reproduced as under:

"The instant writ petition has been filed for seeking issuance of directions to the respondents to consider the representation dated 02.12.2023 (Annexure P-3) sent by the petitioner and release the compensation to the petitioner as per law laid down by this Court in CWP-22904-2016 titled as "Rajwinder Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and others," decided on 18.08.2023 along with interest.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the aforesaid representation sent by the petitioner by passing a speaking and reasoned order in accordance with law and in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate with Mr. Nitesh Jhajhia, Advocate, enters appearance and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and he has no objection to the aforesaid prayer being granted.

Accordingly, with the consent of the parties and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, U. T. Chandigarh, to consider the representation dated 02.12.2023 (Annexure P-3) filed by the petitioner and to pass a speaking and reasoned order thereupon in accordance with law within a period of three months after granting an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.”

2. As per the order dated 12.09.2024, respondents were directed to consider the representation dated 02.12.2023, filed by the petitioner and pass a speaking and reasoned order, in accordance with law.

3. In compliance of the same, Shri Nishant Kumar, Deputy Commissioner, Chandigarh has filed affidavit dated 27.05.2025. Relevant portions of the affidavit is reproduced as under:

“8. That it would be pertinent to mention here that the present Contempt Petition was filed on 12.12.2024, whereas a meeting of the above mentioned committee was held on 09.08.2024 with regard to assessment of compensation to the victims of stray animals incidents/ accidents and it was resolved and decided and recommended that each of such persons be paid compensation of Rs.10,000/- for the injuries suffered by them. Further, it would be pertinent to mention here that the present petitioner has already received a sum of Rs.10,000/ on account of the injuries suffered by him, taking into consideration the WHO guidelines. The copy of the Minutes of the Meeting dated 09.08.2024 as well as of the list of recommended persons including the petitioner, is annexed herewith as Annexure R-2/3 and Annexure R-2/4 respectively.

9. That it would be pertinent to mention here that as per the judgment dated 18.08.2023 as mentioned above in case Rajwinder Kaur and Anr. Vs. State of Haryana and Ors., CWP No.22904 of 2024, wherein it has been specifically and categorically mentioned that in case a victim/ claimant is not satisfied with the financial assistance with regard to the incident / accident suffered by him, in that case he will be at liberty to approach the Civil Court for just fair inequitable

(220)

COCP-5164-2024

-3-

compensation. Hence, if the present petitioner is not satisfied with the quantum of compensation already received by him, then he can always approach the Civil Court for of adequate quantum compensation. Hence, filing of the contempt petition before this Hon'ble Court is misconceived motion and the same is liable to be dismissed.”

4. Another affidavit of Shri Amit Kumar, IAS, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh dated 31.01.2025 was filed on behalf of the Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh. Relevant portion of the same is reproduced as under:

“3. That the meeting of the Committee was held on 22.07.2024 under the chairmanship of Deputy Commissioner U.T. Chandigarh, in which it was decided that the medical receipts of the claimants be sent to the Medical Superintendent, Government Multi-Specialty Hospital, Sector-16, Chandigarh, for verification. Thereafter, the medical receipt of the petitioner alongwith other claimants was sent on 29.07.2024 which was received back after verification from Government Multi-Specialty Sector-16 Hospital, Chandigarh, on 08.08.2024 mentioning that there was one tooth mark of dog bite in the case of the petitioner. Subsequently, the Committee in its next meeting held on 10.12.2024 has decided to grant compensation to the petitioner @ Rs.10,000/- for one tooth mark of dog bite which has been credited into his bank account on 31.01.2025 through NEFT vide UTR No. PUNBW25031158248. Moreover, the speaking order dated 31.01.2025 was also passed in compliance of the writ court order dated 12.09.2024 which is annexed herewith as Annexure R-1.”

5. Accordingly, order dated 12.09.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed in CWP-23049-2024 has been complied with.

6. In view of the above, Civil Original Contempt Petition is dismissed. The contempt is purged and rule is discharged.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

August 18, 2025
sailesh

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No