



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-62966-2024
DECIDED ON: 15.01.2025**

SUKHWINDER SINGH AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Raghav Soni, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jaspal Singh Guru, AAG Punjab

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 528 BNSS for issuance of directions to the respondents No.2 to 5 not to interfere in the peaceful life of the petitioners with a further direction to comply with the mandatory requirements of Section 160 Cr.P.C, in case the attendance of petitioners is required in the police station and for issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 28.11.2024 (Annexure P-2).

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he will be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 28.11.2024 (Annexure P-2) within a time bound manner.

Without adverting to the merits of the case, the respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 28.11.2024 (Annexure P-2) by passing a speaking order in

accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

A copy of order so passed be supplied to the counsel for the petitioners within one week thereafter.

Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

15.01.2025

Meenu

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>