



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-2600-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision:07.01.2025

Vansh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Bhandari, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

1. The present revision petition has been filed for quashing the order dated 05.12.2024 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar whereby the appeal filed by the petitioner against the order dated 08.10.2024 passed by learned Principal Magistrate, Juvenile Justice Board, Amritsar in FIR No.99 dated 18.05.2024, under Sections 376/34 IPC (with Sections 376-D/120-B IPC added later), registered at Police Station Islamabad, District Amritsar, was dismissed.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that it is a case where respondent No.2 made an allegation that the petitioner who is a juvenile had given some intoxicating material to her due to which she became unconscious and made physical relations with her and thereafter the present FIR was registered against the petitioner and he has faced



incarceration for more than 07 months. He further submitted that in fact after the registration of the FIR, the families have already amicably settled the matter and it has been decided by the families that the petitioner and respondent No.2 will marry each other after the petitioner attains the age of majority. He submitted that considering the future wellness and reputation of both the families, the petitioner may be considered for the grant of regular bail as he has already suffered incarceration for more than 07 months.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel submitted that, insofar as the custody of the petitioner is concerned, the same is correct but he has no knowledge as to whether the families of petitioner and respondent No.2 have amicably settled the matter with regard to marriage between the parties or not.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2 has referred to the compromise deed (Annexure P-2) and submitted that he has specific instructions to state that the prosecutrix will be married to the petitioner after he is released and once he attains the age of majority in the interest of both families and the children to save their lives and reputations.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. The custody of the petitioner has come out to be more than 07 months. Both the learned counsel for the parties i.e. for the petitioner and respondent No.2 have stated that after lodging the FIR, the petitioner, respondent No.2 and their families have already entered into an amicable settlement with regard to the fact that in order to save the reputation of the families and future of both the parties, the petitioner and respondent No.2 will be married to each other on attaining the age of majority by the petitioner.

This Court is of the considered view that, in light of the totality of the facts

and circumstances and in the interest of justice, it would be just and proper to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Consequently, the present petition is allowed. The impugned orders dated 08.10.2024 passed by learned Principal Magistrate, Juvenile Justice Board, Amritsar and 05.12.2024 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fast Track Court, Amritsar are hereby set aside and it is directed that the petitioner shall be released on regular bail, if not required in any other case, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

07.01.2025

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No