



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

294

CRWP-11657-2025

Date of decision: 10.11.2025

MANISH KUMAR

... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Ritesh Tomar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Ved Parkash, Senior DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vaibhav Prashar, Advocate
for respondent Nos.4 and 5.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus, and for appointment of a Warrant Officer, with a roving writ to search for the detinue namely Tanvi Jakhar D/o Manish Kumar aged about 10 years R/o Near Neem Wale, Sarpanch, Mandkol (53), Palwal, Haryana.

2. When the matter came up for hearing on 06.11.2025, this Court directed the respondent-mother to produce Ms. Tanvi Jakhar, daughter of the petitioner. In furtherance of the same, Ms. Tanvi Jakhar was produced before the Court. The case was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, whereby a compromise has been arrived at between the parties.



3. The terms of the settlement/agreement are reproduced below:-

***“MEDIATION AND CONCILIATION CENTRE OF
PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT,
CHANDIGARH***

Mediation Case No. _____ of 2025

Re: CRWP-11657 of 2025

Manish Kumar Vs. State of Haryana and others

*Present:- Manish Kumar-Petitioner along with counsel
Mr. Rahuldeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Ritesh Tomar, Advocate.*

*Sundari-Respondent No.4 along with counsel
Mr. Vaibhav Parashar, Advocate.*

SETTLEMENT/AGREEMENT

*This SETTLEMENT-AGREEMENT is entered into between
Manish Kumar aged about 38 years, son of Dharam Singh,
resident of near Neem Wale, Sarpanch, Mankol (53),
Palwal, Haryana-121102.
Aadhar No.5250 6843 0376*

...First Party

AND

*Sundari wife of Manish Kumar, resident of Village Mujesar,
Tehsil Gochhi, District Faridabad.
Aadhar No.2966 4215 8295*

...Second Party

on this day 10th day of November, 2025

WHEREAS

*1. The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner-Manish
Kumar-first party and respondent No.4-Sundari-second
party got married on 18.02.2011. From this wedlock, two
daughters named Tanvi Jakhar, aged about 10 years born
on 15.05.2014 and Himanya Jakhar was born on
06.12.2017. Daughter namely Tanvi Jakhar (detenue) is*



living with the second party/respondent No.4 and daughter namely Himanya Jakhar is living with the first party/petitioner.

The dispute arose between both the parties and the second party is living in a Live-In relationship with respondent No.5- Shravan Kumar since 15.04.2025 along with minor daughter namely Tanvi Jakhar, daughter.

2. *The first party/petitioner being aggrieved against the detenue being taken away by the second party has filed the present petition bearing No. CRWP- 11657 of 2025 against the respondents under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus and for appointment of a Warrant Officer, with a roving writ to search for the detenue namely Tanvi Jakhar.*

3. *The above-said matter was referred to Mediation & Conciliation Centre by the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 10.11.2025.*

4. *That Mr. Birinder Singh Khehar, Advocate was appointed as Mediator in the present case.*

5. *Joint and separate sessions were held with both the parties along with their respective family members and their Counsels in the presence of the undersigned Mediator during the process of mediation.*

6. *The parties hereto confirm and declare that with the assistance of the Mediator they have voluntarily, without any undue influence and coercion and of their own free-will arrived at an amicable Settlement/Agreement in the presence of the Mediator to resolve the present dispute between the parties.*

7. *As informed by the parties, apart from the present CRWP, there is no other case pending between the parties.*

8. *The other respondents, who are arrayed as respondents in the said petition, respondent No.1 is the*



State, respondents No.2 and 3 are the police officials and respondent No.5 namely Shravan Kumar is living in a Live-In relationship with respondent No.4 as per his affidavit Annexure P-2 dated 26.08.2025. Hence, they are not impleaded as party in this Settlement/Agreement as they have no role to resolve the present dispute.

9. The following Settlement/Agreement has been arrived at between both the parties hereto:

a) It is mutually agreed between the parties that the second party/respondent No.4 has agreed to grant visitation rights of the detenue Tanvi Jakhar with the first party/petitioner.

b) It is also mutually agreed between the parties that the first party/petitioner has agreed to grant visitation rights of the daughter namely Himanya Jakhar living with him with the second party/respondent No.4.

c) It is mutually agreed between the parties that the said visitation will be twice a week i.e. on every Wednesday and Sunday at Pebble Mall, Sector 12, Faridabad from 10 A.M. to 5 P.M.

d) It is mutually agreed between the parties that both the parties shall co-operate during the said visitation and shall maintain peace and harmony during the said visitation.

10. The present settlement/agreement has been reached between the parties without any pressure and undue influence and both the parties have agreed to abide by the terms and conditions of the present settlement/agreement. Both the parties shall remain bound by the terms and conditions of the present settlement/agreement.

11. It has been mutually agreed between the parties that with the execution of the present settlement/agreement, the dispute with regard to illegal custody of the detenue namely



Tanvi Jakhar shall stand settled.

12. It has been further decided between the parties that in case of necessity, both the parties shall be free to present the copy of the above compromise before any Authority or Court of Law, in case the same is required to witness the execution of the Settlement/Agreement to settle any pending controversy between the parties.

13. The parties have gone through the contents of the present Settlement/Agreement and the same have been explained to the parties in their vernacular language i.e. Hindi and after admitting the same as correct, have put their respective signatures.

14. The photo copy of the Settlement/Agreement is being handed over to both the parties.

15. The self attested photo copies of Aadhar Cards of both the parties are attached herewith as their identity proofs.

First Party

Manish Kumar-Petitioner _____

Mr. Rahuldeep Singh, Advocate for _____

Mr. Ritesh Tomar, Advocate

Counsel for the petitioner

Second Party

Sundari-Respondent No.4

Mr. Vaibhav Parashar, Advocate

Counsel for respondent Nos.4 & 5

10.11.2025

SK Arora

(Birinder Singh Khehar)

Mediator”

4. A copy of the settlement/agreement arrived at between the parties is taken on record.



5. In view of the compromise arrived at between the parties, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court.

6. Disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

10.11.2025

Kusum

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No